

**GEOMETRIC SATAKE: COURSE NOTES FOR V. GINZBURG'S CLASS
ON SPRING 2018**

GRIFFIN WANG

1. MARCH 27

Let Σ be a smooth complete curve over \mathbb{F}_q , and Σ^{aff} an affine open subvariety, and let the infinity be the set $\infty = \Sigma - \Sigma^{\text{aff}}$. Then we have the following analogy:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z} &\iff \mathbb{F}_q[\Sigma^{\text{aff}}], \\ \mathbb{Q} &\iff \mathbb{F}_q(\Sigma), \\ \mathbb{Z}_p &\iff \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_x \text{ (completed local ring at } x \in \Sigma), \\ \mathbb{Z}_\infty := \mathbb{R} &\iff \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.1. An *automorphic function* is a \mathbb{C} -valued function on

$$K \backslash \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}) / \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}),$$

where K being the hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$.

For each $x \in \Sigma$, denote F_x the field of fractions of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_x$, we have the similar setting for Σ :

$$\prod_{x \in \Sigma} \text{GL}_n(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_x) \backslash \prod'_{x \in \Sigma} \text{GL}_n(F_x) / \text{GL}_n(F(\Sigma)), \quad (1.1)$$

which is isomorphic to the set $|\text{Bun}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)|$. The proof being easy (manipulating with trivializations of vector bundles, and double quotient corresponds to changing trivializations). Denote the space of automorphic functions associated to Σ by $\mathcal{A}(\Sigma)$.

1.1. Hecke Operators. We want to construct many commuting operators on the space of automorphic functions. For each $r = 0, \dots, n$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}eck^r &= \left\{ (V', V, x) \left| \begin{array}{l} V' \text{ isomorphic to a subsheaf of } V, \\ V/V' \text{ is a skyscraper sheaf of rank } r \text{ at } x \end{array} \right. \right\} / \sim \\ &\subset |\text{Bun}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \text{Bun}_n(\mathbb{F}_q) \times \Sigma|. \end{aligned}$$

Also let the projections from $\mathcal{H}eck^r$ to the isomorphism classes of its three factors $|\text{Bun}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)|$, $|\text{Bun}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)|$, and Σ be $\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2, p$ respectively.

We can describe $\mathcal{H}eck^r$ more explicitly. Fix an $x \in \Sigma$, and choose a local uniformizer t at x . Let $V(x)$ be the fiber of V at x , and $E \subset V(x)$ an r -dimensional subspace. Note we have a short exact sequence of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_x$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow V_x \xrightarrow{t} V_x \rightarrow V(x) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let \tilde{E} be the preimage of E in V_x , and we define V' to be the subsheaf of V whose stalks are V_y for any $y \neq x$ and \tilde{E} at x . Note V' is locally free because Σ is a curve. Therefore, the fiber of $\text{pr}_2 \times p$ over (V, x) in $\mathcal{H}eck^r$ is just the Grassmannian $\mathfrak{Gr}^r(V(x))$.

For any point $x \in \Sigma$, $r = 0, \dots, n$, define operator

$$\begin{aligned} H_x^r: \mathcal{A}(\Sigma) &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Sigma) \\ f &\mapsto (\text{pr}_{2,x})_*(\text{pr}_{1,x})^*(f). \end{aligned}$$

Note we regard f as a function on $|\text{Bun}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)|$, and pr_i is viewed here as maps from $\mathcal{H}eck^r$ to $|\text{Bun}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)|$. Then it's easy to see $H_x^r(f)$ at any point (a vector bundle) just sums up the value of f at all subsheaves that have type $(1^r, 0^{n-r})$ at x and are isomorphic elsewhere.

Theorem 1.2. *For any $x, y \in \Sigma$ and any $r, r' = 0, \dots, n$, the operators H_x^r and $H_y^{r'}$ commute.*

Now we work out some linear algebra for our settings. Let F be a local field with ring of integers \mathcal{O} .

Definition 1.3. A lattice in F^n is a finitely generated \mathcal{O} -submodule $L \subset F^n$ such that $L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} F \cong F^n$.

An example of a lattice would be the standard lattice $L_0 = \mathcal{O}^n \subset F^n$.

Lemma 1.4. *Let $L' \subset L$ lattices, then there exists an \mathcal{O} -basis e_1, \dots, e_n of L and integers $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_n \geq 0$ such that $L' = t^{m_1}\mathcal{O}e_1 + \dots + t^{m_n}\mathcal{O}e_n$.*

Proof. This is just the structure theorem for free modules over a PID. □

Corollary 1.5. *Let $L, L' \subset L_0$, then $L \in \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})L'$ if and only if $L_0/L \cong L_0/L'$.*

Corollary 1.6. *If $L \subset L_0$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} L_0/L = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i$, and if we write $L = gL_0$ for some $g \in \text{GL}_n(F) \cap \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{O})$, then $\text{val}(\det g) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} L_0/L$.*

All proofs are very easy. Thus we can describe $\mathcal{H}eck^r$ in a slightly different way:

$$\mathcal{H}eck^r = \left\{ (V', V, x) \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{there is } V' \rightarrow V \text{ injective, and isomorphic on } \Sigma \setminus \{x\}, \\ V'_x \hookrightarrow V_x \text{ has } m_1 = \dots = m_r = 1 \text{ and } m_{r+1} = \dots = m_n = 0 \end{array} \right. \right\} / \sim.$$

An observation is that for any pair of lattices L, L' , there exists some $m \gg 0$ such that $t^m L' \subset L \subset t^{-m} L'$. Thus we can choose a basis e_1, \dots, e_n of L such that $L' = t^{m_1}\mathcal{O}e_1 + \dots + t^{m_n}\mathcal{O}e_n$ for some $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_n$ (i.e. dropping the condition $m_1 \geq 0$). We can also find $g \in \text{GL}_n(F)$ such that $L' = gL$ (no longer require $g \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{O})$).

Let affine Grassmannian \mathfrak{Gr} be the set of all lattices in F^n , which is isomorphic to $\text{GL}_n(F)/\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$, by above we see that

$$\mathfrak{Gr} = \coprod_{m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_n} \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})t^{(m_1, \dots, m_n)}\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})/\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}),$$

and equivalently,

$$\text{GL}_n(F) = \coprod_{m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_n} \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})t^{(m_1, \dots, m_n)}\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}),$$

which is the Cartan decomposition for $\text{GL}_n(F)$.

Let \mathcal{H} be the algebra of Hecke operators, $\mathbb{X}_* \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the coroot lattice for GL_n , $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{X}_*]$ the group ring for \mathbb{X}_* , $W \cong \mathfrak{S}_n$ the Weyl group of GL_n , then we have

Theorem 1.7 (Satake).

$$\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{X}_*]^W[q^\pm] = \mathbb{C}[T^\vee]^W[q^\pm] \cong \mathbb{C}[G^\vee]^{G^\vee}[q^\pm],$$

with basis of characters $\chi_{(m_1, \dots, m_n)}$.

2. MARCH 29

Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ with \mathfrak{S}_n acting by permutation. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, k be any field, $F = k((t))$ the field of Laurent series, and $\mathcal{O} = k[[t]]$ the ring of power series. We have defined the affine Grassmannian \mathfrak{G}_r to be the set of all rank n \mathcal{O} -lattices in F^n . The group $G(F)$ acts transitively on \mathfrak{G}_r and the stabilizer of the standard lattice $L_0 = \mathcal{O}^n$ is $G(\mathcal{O})$. Therefore $\mathfrak{G}_r = G(F)/G(\mathcal{O})$.

Definition 2.1. Let (L, L') be a pair of lattices. A *basis adapted to (L, L')* is such $v_1, \dots, v_n \in L$ that $L = \mathcal{O}v_1 + \dots + \mathcal{O}v_n$ and $L' = t^{m_1}\mathcal{O}v_1 + \dots + t^{m_n}\mathcal{O}v_n$. The adapted basis is said to have *type* $\lambda = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$.

Lemma 2.2. (1) *Any pair (L, L') has an adapted basis, and its type λ is unique up to permutations. In this case we say (L, L') are in relative position $\lambda \bmod \mathfrak{S}_n \in \Lambda/\mathfrak{S}_n$.*
(2) *Two pairs (L_1, L_2) and (L'_1, L'_2) are in the same relative position if and only if they belong to the same orbit of the action of $G(F)$ in $\mathfrak{G}_r \times \mathfrak{G}_r$.*

Remark 2.3. Note that for any two groups $B \subset A$, we have natural bijection

$$\begin{aligned} A \backslash (A/B \times A/B) &\rightarrow B \backslash A/B \\ (a, a') &\mapsto a^{-1}a'. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $G(F) \backslash (\mathfrak{G}_r \times \mathfrak{G}_r) \cong G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash \mathfrak{G}_r \cong G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash G(F)/G(\mathcal{O})$.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. For the first part, note there exists $r \gg 0$ such that $t^r L' \subset L$, then by Lemma 1.4 we can find an adapted basis for $(L, t^r L')$. Dividing by t^r on the coefficients we get an adapted basis for (L, L') . The uniqueness can also be seen easily from Lemma 1.4.

For the second part, let v_1, \dots, v_n be a basis adapted to (L_1, L_2) , and v'_1, \dots, v'_n one to (L'_1, L'_2) . Assume they have the same relative position, then by permuting v'_i (possible by acting by an element in $G(F)$) we can assume they have the same type. Then we can define $g \in G(F)$ to be the element sending v_i to v'_i , then $g(L_1) = L'_1$. The same type assumption says that $g(L_2) = L'_2$ as well. The other direction is proved by running the argument backwards, which is more trivial. \square

Since \mathfrak{G}_r is not of finite type, we now write it as a limit of (projective) varieties. Fix an integer $r > 0$, we certainly have $t^r L_0 \subset t^{-r} L_0$. Define

$$\mathfrak{G}_{r,r} = \{L \in \mathfrak{G}_r \mid t^r L_0 \subset L \subset t^{-r} L_0\},$$

we then have $\mathfrak{G}_r = \varinjlim \mathfrak{G}_{r,r}$. We can rewrite it as

$$\mathfrak{G}_{r,r} \cong \{L/t^r L_0 \subset t^{-r} L_0/t^r L_0 \cong (k^n)^{2r} \mid L/t^r L_0 \text{ is } t\text{-stable}\},$$

where the uniformizer t acts nilpotently on $(k^n)^{2r}$.

Remark 2.4. (1) When $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, $\mathfrak{G}_{r,r}$ is a finite set.

(2) When $k = \mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{G}_{r,r}$ is a projective variety.

- (3) The action of t on \mathfrak{G}_r can be described by coordinates. Choose the standard \mathcal{O} -basis e_1, \dots, e_n for L_0 , then a k -basis for $t^{-r}L_0/t^rL_0$ is $t^j e_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n, -r \leq j < r$). Under this basis, the action of t can be identified with the $2nr \times 2nr$ -matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & J_0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where J_0 is the Jordan block of size $2r$ with eigenvalue 0.

Denote $T \subset G$ the subgroup of invertible diagonal matrices, and N the subgroup of uppertriangular unipotent matrices.

Lemma 2.5. (1) *There is a bijection $\Lambda \cong \mathfrak{G}_r^{T(k)}$ identifying $\lambda = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ with $L^\lambda = t^{m_1}\mathcal{O}e_1 + \cdots + t^{m_n}\mathcal{O}e_n$, where e_i is the standard basis for L_0 .*

- (2) *We have*

$$\mathfrak{G}_r = \coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N(F)L^\lambda,$$

or equivalently,

$$G(F) = \coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N(F)t^\lambda G(\mathcal{O}).$$

The latter is called Iwasawa decomposition.

Proof. For the first part, first note that the map $\lambda \mapsto L^\lambda$ is clearly injective. For surjectivity, note that the action of $T(k)$ on \mathfrak{G}_r induces a $T(k)$ -action on $V = t^{-r}L_0/t^rL_0$, which commutes with the action of t . It is clear that any $T(k)$ -stable subspace $E \subset V$ has a form $E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_n$ where $E_i \subset \bigoplus_{j=-r}^{r-1} k(t^j e_i)$. If E is in addition t -stable, then $E_i = \bigoplus_{j=m_i}^{r-1} k(t^j e_i)$ for some $m_i \geq -r$.

For the second part, note any lattice can be transferred through Gaussian elimination with \mathcal{O} -coefficients to some L^λ . \square

Now we describe the Hecke operators for curves in a different way. Let Σ be a smooth projective curve over k , and fix a k -point $x \in \Sigma$. Let $\mathcal{O} = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_x$ be the completed local ring at x , and F the fraction field of \mathcal{O} . For convenience, let $\mathcal{O}_{\text{out}} = \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma - \{x\}}$. By Bun_n we mean the stack of vector bundles of rank n on Σ . We claim that

$$\mathfrak{G}_r \cong \{(V, \psi) \mid V \in \text{Bun}_n(\Sigma), \psi \text{ a trivialization of } V \text{ on } \Sigma - \{x\}\} / \sim.$$

The proof of the claim is straightforward: recall in last lecture we have that the double quotient (1.1) is isomorphic to $|\text{Bun}_n(k)|$, and those with a trivialization over $\Sigma - \{x\}$ correspond to the double coset represented by those with only one factor (i.e. at x) not in the local integral points. Now we put back the trivialization outside of x , we get the result.

For any $\lambda \in \Lambda/\mathfrak{S}_n$, we define

$$\mathcal{H}eck_x^\lambda = \left\{ (V, V', \varphi) \mid \begin{array}{l} \varphi : V|_{\Sigma - \{x\}} \xrightarrow{\sim} V'|_{\Sigma - \{x\}} \\ (V_x, V'_x) \text{ in relative position } \lambda \text{ in } F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} V_x \xrightarrow{\sim} F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} V'_x \text{ via } \varphi \end{array} \right\} / \sim.$$

Denote still by pr_1, pr_2 projections to V and V' respectively. Let Bun_n^0 be the substack of bundles that are trivial on $\Sigma - \{x\}$. Therefore by above discussion we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_1^{-1}(\text{Bun}_n^0) &= G(\mathcal{O}_{\text{out}}) \backslash (\mathfrak{G}_r \times \mathfrak{G}_r)^\lambda \\ &= G(\mathcal{O}_{\text{out}}) \backslash G(F) / \text{Stab}(L^0, L^\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where the superscript λ denotes the pairs of relative position λ .

In order to describe the alternative description for Hecke algebra, we first state some results in a more general setting. Let M be a locally compact topological group, and K a maximal compact subgroup. Choose a Haar measure μ such that $\mu(K) = 1$. Let $C_c(K \backslash M / K)$ be the \mathbb{C} -valued K -biinvariant continuous functions with compact support on M . It is an algebra under convolution. It can be shown to be naturally isomorphic to $C_c(M \backslash (M/K \times M/K))$ which also has a natural convolution (with the support conditions for the functions properly defined).

Remark 2.6. For a space X , and good enough functions f, g on X . The convolution can be defined by $(f, g) \mapsto p_{13,*}(p_{12}^* f \cdot p_{23}^* g)$, where p_{ij} are the three projections from $X \times X \times X$ to $X \times X$.

When Y is a space with M acting on it, we have a natural action of $C_c(K \backslash M / K)$ on $C_c(Y/K)$:

$$\begin{aligned} C_c(Y/K) \otimes C_c(K \backslash M / K) &\rightarrow C_c(Y/K) \\ f \otimes g &\mapsto (y \mapsto \int_M f(y m) g(m^{-1}) dm). \end{aligned}$$

Now when $Y = \{(V, v) \mid V \in \text{Bun}_n, v \text{ is an } \mathcal{O} \text{ basis of } V_x\}$, $M = G(F)$, $K = G(\mathcal{O})$, we have $C_c(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash G(F) / G(\mathcal{O}))$ acting on $C_c(Y/K)$.

Theorem 2.7. *The algebra $C_c(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash G(F) / G(\mathcal{O}))$ is commutative.*

Proof by Gelfand. For general $K \subset M$, suppose we have an anti-involution τ on M such that $\tau(K m K) = K m K$ for all $m \in M$. We claim $C_c(K \backslash M / K)$ is commutative: define τ acting on $C_c(M)$ by $(\tau f)(m) = f(\tau(m))$. Then it is easily verified $\tau(f * g) = \tau(g) * \tau(f)$. On the other hand, by assumption on τ , we know $\tau(f) = f$ for all $f \in C_c(K \backslash M / K)$, we have $\tau(f * g) = f * g$ and $\tau(f * g) = g * f$, so $C_c(K \backslash M / K)$ is commutative. Going back to our specific case, choose τ to be the transposition of matrices which, by Cartan decomposition, satisfies the assumption on the anti-involution above, so we are done. \square

3. APRIL 3

In this lecture we establish some facts about affine Grassmannian for a general reductive (actually semisimple only) group, analogous to the GL_n case (which is not semisimple hence it's not a perfect analogy). Let k be an arbitrary field, $F = k((\varpi))$ the field of Laurent series over k , $\mathcal{O} = k[[\varpi]]$ the ring of formal power series. Let G be a split reductive group over k , and we define

$$\mathfrak{G}_r := G(F) / G(\mathcal{O}).$$

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$, and fix a nondegenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) on \mathfrak{g} , i.e. for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have $([x, y], z) + (y, [x, z]) = 0$. We can extend it to a bilinear form on

$\mathfrak{g}(F) \times \mathfrak{g}(F) \rightarrow F$. For example, when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, we can choose the Killing form $(x, y) = \text{Tr}(\text{ad } x \circ \text{ad } y)$, and when G is reductive, we can extend the Killing form from its semisimple part by putting a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form (automatically invariant) on its abelian part, and demanding the two parts orthogonal to each other.

Now assume G is semisimple.

Definition 3.1. A *lattice* in $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ is a finitely generated \mathcal{O} -submodule $L \subset \mathfrak{g}(F)$ such that $L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} F \cong \mathfrak{g}(F)$. The *dual lattice* $L^\vee := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(L, \mathcal{O})$, identified as a lattice in $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ as well through the bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) .

Then we can give another definition of affine Grassmannian:

$$\mathfrak{Gr}'_G := \{L \subset \mathfrak{g}(F) \text{ lattice} \mid [L, L] \subset L, \text{ and } L = L^\vee\}.$$

We want to connect \mathfrak{Gr}_G with \mathfrak{Gr}'_G (i.e. to show they are isomorphic). As usual, we introduce the standard lattice $L_0 = \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})$, and let $G(F)$ act on \mathfrak{Gr}'_G by the adjoint action: $g: L \mapsto \text{Ad } g(L)$. Note that $\text{Stab}_{G(F)} L_0 = G(\mathcal{O})$, and the action of $G(F)$ is transitive. Thus we get $\mathfrak{Gr}'_G \cong G(F)/G(\mathcal{O}) = \mathfrak{Gr}_G$.

Remark 3.2. The two definitions can be seen in methodology parallel to the definitions of flag varieties. The definition of \mathfrak{Gr}_G is similar to defining the flag variety by G/B with some chosen Borel B , and that of \mathfrak{Gr}'_G is choice-free, thus similar to defining the flag variety by the functor of points (set of all Borel subgroups in G).

Lemma 3.3. *For all lattice $L \subset \mathfrak{g}(F)$, there exists $n \gg 0$ such that $\varpi^n L_0 \subset L \subset \varpi^{-n} L_0$. In other words,*

$$\frac{L}{\varpi^n L_0} \subset \frac{\varpi^{-n} L_0}{\varpi^n L_0} =: V_n.$$

Define a bilinear form

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n: V_n \times V_n &\rightarrow k \\ (x, y) &\mapsto \text{Res}_{\varpi=0}(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where the parentheses on the right hand side denote the bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$, and $\text{Res}_{\varpi=0}$ simply means taking the coefficient of ϖ^{-1} . It is easy to check that β_n is nondegenerate, and we can describe \mathfrak{Gr}_G as a limit of projective varieties:

$$\mathfrak{Gr}_n := \{V \subset V_n \mid V \text{ is } \varpi\text{-stable, maximal isotropic w.r.t. } \beta_n, \text{ and the 3-form } \beta_n([\cdot, \cdot], \cdot) = 0 \text{ on } V\},$$

and \mathfrak{Gr}_n comes with a natural structure of a projective variety over k . It is clear that we have the following isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \{L \in \mathfrak{Gr}_G \mid \varpi^n L_0 \subset L \subset \varpi^{-n} L_0\} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Gr}_n \\ L &\mapsto \frac{L}{\varpi^n L_0}, \end{aligned}$$

with the maximal isotropic condition on the right corresponding to the self-dual condition on the left, and after assuming this, the Lie subalgebra condition on the left is equivalent to the 3-form condition on the right (which instead of putting conditions on L only, translating some of those conditions to the dual via β_n).

Corollary 3.4. *We have $\mathfrak{Gr}_G = \varinjlim_n \mathfrak{Gr}_n$.*

The $G(\mathcal{O})$ -action on lattice by conjugation descends to an action on V_n . Let $T \subset G$ be a split maximal torus, and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{X}_* = \mathbb{X}_*(T) = \text{Hom}_k(\mathbb{G}_m, T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\text{rk} G}$. We have an element $\varpi^\lambda \in T(F)$. Similar as before, we let $L^\lambda = \text{Ad } \varpi^\lambda(L_0)$. Let $\mathfrak{t} = \text{Lie } T$, $\Phi \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ the root system of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$, and (by choosing a Borel) fix a set of positive roots. Thus we have the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} k e_\alpha,$$

where e_α is a nonzero vector in \mathfrak{g} . Therefore we have

$$\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{O}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathcal{O} e_\alpha,$$

and so

$$\text{Ad } \varpi^\lambda \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{O}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \varpi^{\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle} \mathcal{O} e_\alpha,$$

Assume λ is dominant, then if $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \leq n$ for all $\alpha > 0$, then we get $\varpi^n L_0 \subset L^\lambda$, and automatically $L^\lambda \subset \varpi^{-n} L_0$ by self-duality.

We define \mathfrak{Gr}^λ to be the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit of L^λ , then $\mathfrak{Gr}^\lambda = G(\mathcal{O}) / \text{Stab}_{G(\mathcal{O})} L^\lambda$. We can describe the Lie algebra of the stabilizer explicitly if λ is dominant and $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \leq n$: since $\text{Stab}_{G(\mathcal{O})} L^\lambda = G(\mathcal{O}) \cap \text{Stab}_{G(F)} L^\lambda = G(\mathcal{O}) \cap \text{Ad } \varpi^\lambda(G(\mathcal{O}))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lie}(G(\mathcal{O}) \cap \text{Ad } \varpi^\lambda(G(\mathcal{O}))) &= \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O}) \cap \text{Ad } \varpi^\lambda(\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})) \\ &= \mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{O}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \varpi^{\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle} \mathcal{O} e_\alpha \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} \mathcal{O} e_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can calculate the dimension of \mathfrak{Gr}^λ :

$$\dim_k \mathfrak{Gr}^\lambda = \dim_k \frac{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}{\text{Lie}(\text{Stab}_{G(\mathcal{O})} L^\lambda)} = \sum_{\alpha > 0} \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = 2\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle,$$

where ρ is one half of the sum of all positive roots.

Lie theory tells us that the coroot lattice $Q^\vee \subset \mathbb{X}_*$ as a finite index sublattice. We also know from Lie theory that $\langle \lambda, \rho \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ if $\lambda \in Q^\vee$ or \mathbb{X}_* respectively.

Corollary 3.5. *The orbit \mathfrak{Gr}^λ is even-dimensional if $\lambda \in Q^\vee$.*

Now let $k = \mathbb{C}$. Choose a maximal compact subgroup $K \subset G$, and define the (polynomial) loop space of K

$$\Omega(K) := \{\text{polynomial maps } f: S^1 \rightarrow K \text{ such that } f(1) = 1\}.$$

We can view G as embedded in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ for some n , and polynomial maps from \mathbb{C} to G are simply polynomial maps in coordinates. A polynomial map to K is one that is the restriction (to S^1) of some polynomial map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow G$ whose image of S^1 lies in K . We then have maps

$$\Omega(K) \hookrightarrow G(\mathbb{C}((\varpi))) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Gr}_G = G(\mathbb{C}((\varpi))) / G(\mathbb{C}[[\varpi]]).$$

Theorem 3.6. *The composition of the above map $\Omega(K) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Gr}_G$ is an isomorphism.*

The proof for GL_n is essentially the Gram-Schmidt process.

Lastly, for a chosen (G, K) , we have the simply-connected as well as the adjoint type isogenous groups K^{sc} and K^{ad} for K , and the maps

$$K^{\mathrm{sc}} \rightarrow K \rightarrow K^{\mathrm{ad}}$$

being both group quotients and covering maps. Clearly $\pi_1(K^{\mathrm{ad}}) = Z(K^{\mathrm{sc}})$. So if K is the simply-connected type, we have

$$\pi_0(\Omega(K^{\mathrm{sc}})) \cong \pi_1(K^{\mathrm{sc}}) = 1,$$

and $\mathfrak{Gr}_{G^{\mathrm{sc}}}$ is connected. If K is the adjoint type, then

$$\pi_0(\Omega(K^{\mathrm{ad}})) \cong \pi_1(K^{\mathrm{ad}}) = Z(K^{\mathrm{sc}}),$$

and moreover we have a natural map from $\mathfrak{Gr}_{G^{\mathrm{sc}}}$ to $\mathfrak{Gr}_{G^{\mathrm{ad}}}$ realizing the former as a connected component of the latter.

4. APRIL 5

This lecture will define the Grassmannian as a scheme (more precisely an ind-scheme). We deal with the case $k = \mathbb{C}$ first, and mention a bit about the mixed characteristic case. As usual $F = k((\varpi))$ and $\mathcal{O} = k[[\varpi]]$.

Let H be a linear algebraic group, then we can define the functor of arc space of H

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{H(\mathcal{O})}: \mathrm{Alg}/k &\rightarrow \mathrm{Sets} \\ R &\mapsto H(R[[\varpi]]). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.1. *This functor is representable by a scheme of infinite type $H(\mathcal{O})$.*

Similarly, we can define the functor of loop space of H

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{H(F)}: \mathrm{Alg}/k &\rightarrow \mathrm{Sets} \\ R &\mapsto H(R((\varpi))). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.2. *This functor is representable by an ind-scheme $H(F)$, i.e. it is a direct limit (as a functor) of closed embeddings of schemes.*

Definition 4.3. The affine Grassmannian \mathfrak{Gr}_G is defined to be the quotient of functors (as fpqc sheaves of groups) $G(F)/G(\mathcal{O})$.

Remark 4.4. (1) The ind-scheme $G(F)$ is in general not reduced, even for the simplest groups like \mathbb{G}_m ;

(2) The quotient map $G(F) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Gr}_G$ is a $G(\mathcal{O})$ -torsor in the fpqc topology.

4.1. **GL_n -Case.** Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, and R a k -algebra.

Definition 4.5. A *lattice* is a finitely generated projective $R[[\varpi]]$ -module $L \subset R((\varpi))^n$ such that $R((\varpi)) \otimes_{R[[\varpi]]} L \cong R((\varpi))^n$.

As usual we have the standard lattice $L_0 = R[[\varpi]]^n$, and for all lattice L , there exists a large m such that $\varpi^m L_0 \subset L \subset \varpi^{-m} L_0$. To better connect the lattices to the geometric description of Grassmannians (i.e. through vector bundles), so that we can get vector bundles not just coherent sheaves, we need this lemma:

Lemma 4.6. *The quotient $\varpi^{-m} L_0/L$ is a projective R -module.*

4.2. Case of mixed characteristics. In this subsection only, we talk briefly about the number-theoretic settings. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_p$, $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}_p$, and $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$. We want to define an ind-scheme \mathfrak{Gr} over k such that it represents the functor of \mathbb{Z}_p -lattices in \mathbb{Q}_p .

The first step is to define the analogue of lattices. Note that \mathbb{Z}_p can be seen as the ring of Witt vectors over k , denoted by $W(k)$, and $\mathbb{Q}_p = W(k)[p^{-1}]$. Let R be a k -algebra, it is tempting to define the R -points of the functor to be finitely generated projective $W(R)$ -modules inside $W(R)[p^{-1}]^n$ of generic rank n . However, if R is non-reduced, then p may be a zero divisor in $W(R)$, thus $W(R)$ is not a subring of $W(R)[p^{-1}]$.

To remedy this, we can restrict the domain category to all *perfect* k -algebras, i.e. those R such that the Frobenius $x \mapsto x^p$ is bijective.

Lemma 4.7. *If R is perfect then p is not a zero divisor in $W(R)$.*

Now consider the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PerfAlg}/k &\rightarrow \text{Sets} \\ R &\mapsto \text{lattices in } W(R)[p^{-1}]^n, \end{aligned}$$

then we have:

Proposition 4.8. *This functor is representable by an ind-scheme $\varinjlim X_i$ with each X_i a perfect scheme (affine-locally being the spectra of perfect k -algebras).*

However, another problem arises since perfect k -algebras are in general not of finite type, because usually it is a perfect closure of some k -algebra by taking p -th root over and over again. Only until recently have people understood a deeper result:

Theorem 4.9 (Bhatt-Scholze, 2015). *With the notations above, X_i is a perfection of a projective variety over k , and the embeddings $X_i \hookrightarrow X_{i+1}$ come from the maps of those varieties.*

However, for a general reductive group not much is known yet.

4.3. General reductive group case. For the remaining of this section $k = \mathbb{C}$. We start with the tori. Let $G = T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^n$, and $X_* = X_*(T) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T)$, $X^* = X^*(T) = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{G}_m)$ be the coweight and weight lattices respectively. We have a natural isomorphism $X^* \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X_*, \mathbb{Z})$, since there exists a perfect pairing

$$\begin{aligned} X^* \times X_* &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ (\chi, \gamma) &\mapsto n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi \circ \gamma = (z \mapsto z^n)$. One can also recover T from the lattices by noticing $T \cong \mathbb{G}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X_*$. This suggests the definition $T^\vee = \mathbb{G}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X^*$, and it is clear that $X_*(T^\vee) = X^*$ and $X^*(T^\vee) = X_*$. One can also construct T^\vee directly from T in this case since topologically, $X_* \cong \pi_1(T)$, and so $T^\vee \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1(T), \mathbb{G}_m)$, which is the same as rank-1 local systems on T .

It turns out we can largely ignore the non-reducedness of the Grassmannian, thus we will focus on the underlying space of points. Since $F^\times/\mathcal{O}^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}$ through valuation, we have as a space $\mathfrak{Gr}_T = T(F)/T[[\varpi]] \cong X_*(T)$.

Next we consider $G = \text{SL}_n \subset \text{GL}_n$. We claim that $\mathfrak{Gr}_{\text{SL}_n} \subset \mathfrak{Gr}_{\text{GL}_n}$. Indeed, we can identify the former with the lattices in F^n satisfying some additional conditions.

Definition 4.10. For two lattices $L, L' \subset F^n$, we define the *relative length*

$$\text{Leng}(L, L') = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(L/(L \cap L')) - \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(L'/(L \cap L')),$$

necessarily a finite number.

The following is straightforward.

Lemma 4.11. *We have the isomorphism (as ind-schemes) $\mathfrak{Gr}_{\text{SL}_n} \cong \{L \in \mathfrak{Gr}_{\text{GL}_n} \mid \text{Leng}(L, L_0) = 0\}$.*

We define the determinant bundle on $\mathfrak{Gr}_{\text{SL}_n}$ by letting the fiber over L be $\det(L/(L \cap L_0)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \det(L^0/(L \cap L_0))^\vee$.

Proposition 4.12. *The determinant bundle is an ample line bundle on $\mathfrak{Gr}_{\text{SL}_n}$ hence gives a projective embedding of $\mathfrak{Gr}_{\text{SL}_n}$ (as ind-schemes).*

The determinant bundle can be generalized to any reductive group G . The loop Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ admits an important central extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(F) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Kac-Moody algebra. The construction is explicit: fix a symmetric nondegenerate invariant bilinear form $\beta: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the Kac-Moody algebra is defined as a vector space simply $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{g}(F)$, on which the cocycle $c_\beta \in H^2(\mathfrak{g}(F), \mathbb{C})$ for the central extension is given by $c_\beta(x, y) = \text{Res}_{\varpi=0} \beta(x, y)$. The same can be done for groups as well, i.e. we have a central extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \widehat{G} \rightarrow G(F) \rightarrow 1,$$

in which the preimage of $G(\mathcal{O})$ splits. Therefore we have a \mathbb{G}_m -torsor

$$\widehat{G}/G(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow G(F)/G(\mathcal{O}) = \mathfrak{Gr}_G.$$

The associated line bundle is the determinant bundle \det . For GL_n or SL_n , the bilinear form β can be chosen as the Killing form (extended to GL_n as in Section 3).

For any simply-connected G , let $\mathbb{V}_\beta = \text{Ind}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{O})}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}} 1_c$, for which the central character c is some fixed one, we then have the identification (verify it)

$$\widehat{G} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{V}_\beta^*).$$

4.4. Another example: PGL_n . Let $G = \text{PGL}_n = \text{GL}_n/\mathbb{G}_m$. It's not hard to see

$$\mathfrak{Gr}_{\text{PGL}_n} \cong \mathfrak{Gr}_{\text{GL}_n}/(L \sim \varpi L).$$

With this identification, any element in $\mathfrak{Gr}_{\text{PGL}_n}$ is then represented by some lattice of relative length $r \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ to L_0 , and any two elements are in the same connected component if and only if their relative lengths to L_0 are the same (mod n). For each $m = 0, \dots, n-1$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Gr}^m &= \{L \subset L_0 \mid L \text{ is the preimage of some } m\text{-dimensional subspace of } L_0/\varpi L_0\} \\ &\cong \mathfrak{Gr}_m(\mathbb{C}^n), \end{aligned}$$

the last term being the usual Grassmannian in \mathbb{C}^n .

Proposition 4.13. *$\mathfrak{Gr}_{\text{PGL}_n}$ has n connected components, and \mathfrak{Gr}^m is the unique closed $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit in the connected component corresponding to m .*

4.5. **Cartan and Iwasawa decompositions.** This subsection is probably going to be repeated in the next lecture. For each $\lambda \in X_*(T)$, we have $\varpi^\lambda \in T(F) \in G(F)$, hence $\varpi^\lambda G(\mathcal{O})/G(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathfrak{Gr}_G$. Let N be a maximal unipotent subgroup.

Theorem 4.14 (Cartan Decomposition).

$$\mathfrak{Gr}_G = \bigcup_{\lambda \in X_*(T)} G(\mathcal{O})\varpi^\lambda.$$

Theorem 4.15 (Iwasawa Decomposition).

$$\mathfrak{Gr}_G = \bigcup_{\lambda \in X_*(T)} N(F)\varpi^\lambda.$$

To prove these we need a lemma.

Lemma 4.16.

$$(\mathfrak{Gr}_G)^T \cong \{\varpi^\lambda \mid \lambda \in X_*\}.$$

Sketch of the Proof (to be finished next lecture). Choose a sufficiently general one-parameter subgroup $\gamma: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T$ such that $(\mathfrak{Gr}_G)^\gamma = (\mathfrak{Gr}_G)^T$. Any $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit X in \mathfrak{Gr}_G is a projective variety (why?), and is γ -stable. Fix a point $x \in X$, and look at the γ -orbit of x , we have an algebraic function $\mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow X$. But X is projective, so this map extends to $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$. Let $x_0 = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \gamma(z) \cdot x$, then $x_0 \in (\mathfrak{Gr}_G)^T \cap X$, which proves Theorem 4.14. \square